This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



Dep Ref PATENT 1794-0157P

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

HIRAYAMA, Hideki et al.

Conf.:

Appl. No.:

10/626,661

Group:

UNASSIGNED

Filed:

July 25, 2003

Examiner:

UNASSIGNED

For:

ULTRAVIOLET LIGHT-EMITTING DEVICE IN WHICH P-TYPE

SEMICONDUCTOR IS USED

Patent No.:

Issued:

Control No.:

ATTN:

REFUND SECTION

ACCOUNTING DIVISION OFFICE OF FINANCE

REQUEST FOR REFUND (Improper Charge of Deposit Account)

MS 16

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 15, 2003

Sir:

I. REFUND REQUEST

This is a request for a refund with respect to the charge to Deposit Account $\underline{02-2448}$ shown on the statement for the month of July 2003 for the above-identified 冈

application patent

 \boxtimes A copy of the monthly statement in which the error referred to occurs, accompanies this request.

II. FEES CHARGED FOR WHICH REFUND REQUESTED

	•	AMOUNT OF REFUND REQUESTED
	filing fee	
	surcharge for filing the basic filing on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e))	
	and/or	
	surcharge for filing the oath or declaration on a date later than the filing date of the application (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(e))	
	extension of term	
	first month	
	second month	
	third month	
	fourth month	
	excess claims	
	issue fee	
	petition fee	
	patent maintenance fee	
	first maintenance fee	
	second maintenance fee	
	third maintenance fee	
	patent maintenance fee surcharge	
\boxtimes	Other: Additional Claim Fees	54.00
	·	
	TOTAL REFUND REQUESTED	<u> \$54.00</u>

III. EXPLANATION OF WHY CONTESTED CHARGE IS IN ERROR

It is noted that, taking multiple-dependencies into account, 20 total claims and 5 independent claims should be counted in the present application for the purposes of fee payment. Accordingly the \$168.00 fee for two (2) additional independent claims was submitted concurrently with this application on July 25, 2003.

It is noted that the confusion over the \$54.00 charge to the undersigned's deposit account seems to be over claim 13. This claim is multiple-dependent and depends upon claims 4, 5, 11, and 12. In this regard, it is noted that claim 11 depends on claim 9, which in turn depends upon claims 6, 7, and 8. Since a multiple-dependent claim cannot depend upon another multiple-dependent claim, it is respectfully submitted that claim 13 is in improper multiple-dependent form, and should therefore be counted as only a single claim for the purposes of fee payment. See MPEP 608.01(n)(I)(G)(2)(b).

In view of the foregoing, it is again respectfully requested that \$54.00 be credited to the undersigned's deposit account.

IV. MANNER OF REFUND

Please make refund by crediting Account No. 02-2448.

We respectfully request that the attached copy of this letter be returned to us with an indication that the credit has been processed.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

realized range, #32

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attachment(s)

1794-0157P

KM/asc

(Rev. 09/30/03)